#Opentowork Head of Performance-Sports Science Manager

My Philosophy: Planning & Periodisation, Science and Practice

Renaat Philippaerts, PhD 2024



Renaat Philippaerts

Master in Sports Sciences (KULeuven, 1992) PhD in Sports Sciences (KULeuven, 1998) UEFA A License (RBFA) Physical Coach Level 2 (RBFA)

Ghent University for 15 years (2000-2015) Physical Coach for 15 years (2008-2023)

Website:

www.renaatphilippaerts.com



my professional timeline



Before I start: some general quotes and ideas

- We have to train harder than match demands.
- I prefer injuries during the training sessions rather than during the games.
- Do I prefer fresh players over fit players?
- Strength/explosive training can go together with endurance/interval training.
- Do we repeat every week the same rhythm-microcycle?
- Do we prefer physical variation over monotony?
- Long-term planning in professional football is absolutely needed.

My Philosophy: Planning & Periodisation Macrocycles Mesocycles Microcycles

A global overview

Two Macro Cycles of 6 months and 5 months: collective planning

Sequence of the meso cycles: blocks of 4, 5 or 6 weeks in the collective planning

Practice: Planning & Periodisation (some general guidelines)

| | Week 1 Prep. | Week 2 Prep. | Week 3 Prep. | Week 4 Prep. | Week 5 Prep. | Week 6 (Start competition) | Week 7 | Week 8 | Week 9 | |
|---|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|
| # games | 1 friendly | 1 friendly | 2 friendly | 2 friendly | 1 friendly | 1 | 1 | 1 + 1 friendly | 1 | |
| Overload | Ext. EnduranceInt. EnduranceCoordinationExt. Interval (Interval)Basic StrengthPerformance | | | | Ext. Interval Basic & Max. Str | | xplosivity leed p. Str/P | | | |
| Underload | do not hesitate to a | | | | | | | | | |
| Football specific? | For more information For more information for the philosophy of th | | | | | | | | | |
| How non- specific? | | | | | | | | | | |
| Planned volume, games incl. (h/week) | 1 4-15 | 17-20 | 17-20 | 15-17 | 12-15 | 7-10 | 10-12 | 10-12 Individual w | 10-12 ork not included! | |

| | Week 10 | Week 11 | Week 12 | Week 13 | Week 14 | Week 15 | Week 16 | Week 17 | Week 18 |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| # games | 1 | 1 friendly | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Overload | Explosivity Speed Explosive Po | ower | | Extensive - In Maximal Stre | itensive intervi | tate to C | ontactn | he | ver |
| Underload | • • • • | | informa | tion, do | not hesi | | | | |
| Football specific? | F | or more | ecific: only gen | | SSGs are import not?). Thereiore, | physical work w | according to th /ithout ball is im | e philosophy of portant. | the |
| How non- specific? | · | | | | | | | | |
| Planned volume, games incl. (h/week) | 9-10 | 7-9 | 9-10 | 9-10 | 9-11 | 9-11 | 7-8 | 9-10 Individual work | 9-10 onot included! |

Example: some numbers from an individual player

| Week | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Total distance (km) | 57,8 | 78,1 | 72,1 | 53,0 | 55,7 | 37,4 | 44,7 | 55,0 | 46,1 | 44,6 | 32,2 | 46,9 | 50,3 | 51,9 |
| 0-15 km/h (km) | 54,8 | 68,6 | 61,9 | 44,4 | 47,7 | 30,0 | 38,0 | 46,2 | 38,8 | 37,2 | 27,6 | 40,2 | 41,1 | 42,5 |
| (%) | (95%) | (88%) | (86%) | (83%) | (85%) | (80%) | (85%) | (84%) | (84%) | (83%) | (86%) | (86%) | (82%) | (82%) |
| 15-20 km/h (km) | 2,6 | 7,2 | 7,7 | 6,7 | 5,6 | 5,3 | 5,1 | 6,1 | 5,8 | 5,1 | 3,0 | 4,3 | 6,0 | 6,2 |
| (%) | (5%) | (9%) | (11%) | (13%) | (10%) | (14%) | (11%) | (11%) | (13%) | (11%) | (9%) | (9%) | (12%) | (12%) |
| 20-25 km/h (km) | 0,3 | 1,2 | 1,4 | 1,0 | 1,4 | 1,4 | 0,8 | 1,3 | 0,9 | 0,7 | 0,4 | 0,7 | 1,2 | 1,1 |
| (%) | (1%) | (2%) | (2%) | (2%) | (3%) | (4%) | (2%) | (2%) | (2%) | (2%) | (1%) | (2%) | (2%) | (2%) |
| 25 km/h (km) | 0,1 | 0,9 | 0,9 | 0,6 | 0,8 | 0,6 | 0,7 | 1,3 | 0,4 | 1,5 | 1,1 | 1,5 | 1,9 | 1,9 |
| (%) | (0%) | (1%) | (1%) | (1%) | (1%) | (2%) | (1%) | (2%) | (1%) | (3%) | (3%) | (3%) | (4%) | (3%) |

Why mesocycles of 4, 5, or 6 weeks?

REVIEW ARTICLE

Sports Med 2004; 34 (3): 165-180 0112-1642/04/0003-0165/\$31.00/0

© 2004 Adis Data Information BV. All rights reserved.

Endurance and Strength Training for Soccer Players Physiological Considerations

Jan Hoff and Jan Helgerud

Faculty of Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

Contents

| Abs | tract |
|-----|--|
| 1. | Endurance Performance in Soccer |
| 2. | Physiological Determinants of Cardiorespiratory Endurance |
| З. | Exercise Stroke Volume of the Heart |
| 4. | Endurance Training in Soccer |
| 5. | Strength and Strength Derivatives: Acceleration, Jump, Sprints |
| 6. | Physiological Considerations for Strength Training in Soccer Players |
| | 6.1 Muscular Hypertrophy |
| | 6.2 Neural Adaptations |
| 7. | Strength Training for Soccer Players |
| 8. | Strength Training Effects on Endurance Performance |
| 9. | Concurrent Strength and Endurance Training in Soccer |
| 10. | Conclusions |

Hoff & Helgerud, 2004

- VO₂max is probably the single most important factor determining success in an aerobic endurance sport...but less sensitive to training-induced adaptations than AT and ANT (LT)
- Intermittent exercise at <u>90-95% of HRmax for 3-8 minutes</u> involves a major load on the oxygen-transporting organs
- VO₂max and related parameters: improvement 10-30% within <u>8-10 weeks</u> of training
- Strength training in football players: 70-85% of 1RM, basic-maximal strength and hypertrophy, 3 times/week, **8 weeks**:
 - Strength gains: 25-50%
 - Rate of force development: +52%
 - 10m sprint: -0,08sec
 - 40m sprint: -0,13sec

Nader, 2006, Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise 38 (11): 1965-1970.

Concurrent Strength and Endurance Training: From Molecules to Man

GUSTAVO A. NADER

Research Center for Genetic Medicine, Children's National Medical Center, Washington, DC

ABSTRACT

NADER, G. A. Concurrent Strength and Endurance Training: From Molecules to Man. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc., Vol. 38, No. 11, pp. 1965-1970, 2006. Strength and endurance training produce widely diversified adaptations, with little overlap between them. Strength training typically results in increases in muscle mass and muscle strength. In contrast, endurance training induces increases in maximal oxygen uptake and metabolic adaptations that lead to an increased exercise capacity. In many sports, a combination of strength and endurance training is required to improve performance, but in some situations when strength and endurance training are performed simultaneously, a potential interference in strength development takes place, making such a combination seemingly incompatible. The phenomenon of concurrent training, or simultaneously training for strength and endurance, was first described in the scientific literature in 1980 by Robert C. Hickson, and although work that followed provided evidence for and against it, the interference effect seems to hold true in specific situations. At the molecular level, there seems to be an explanation for the interference of strength development during concurrent training; it is now clear that different forms of exercise induce antagonistic intracellular signaling mechanisms that, in turn, could have a negative impact on the muscle's adaptive response to this particular form of training. That is, activation of AMPK by endurance exercise may inhibit signaling to the protein-synthesis machinery by inhibiting the activity of mTOR and its downstream targets. The purpose of this review is to briefly describe the problem of concurrent strength and endurance training and to examine new data highlighting potential molecular mechanisms that may help explain the inhibition of strength development when strength and endurance training are performed simultaneously. Key Words: EXERCISE, SKELETAL MUSCLE, ADAPTATION, SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION

Nader GA, 2006, Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise 38 (11): 1965-1970. Seipp D, et al, 2022, International Journal of Sport Science & Coaching 18 (4)

- Concurrent Training can be effective in improving multiple physiological qualities – both endurance and strength - in team sports athletes, including football players. After 6-7 weeks, a plateau in strength outcomes.
- However, important to note that the effects of Concurrent Training may vary depending on factors such as training status and age. Older and more experienced players may have more pronounced interference effects from Concurrent Training.
- So, consider factors as extended recovery time, sequence order, and endurance modalities.
- Finally, preferably strength training before endurance training to improve both endurance and strength simultaneously.

Robert C. Hickson (1980), in Nader (2006)

Ronnestad BR; Kvamme NH; Sunde A; Raastad T, 2008, Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research 22(3): 773-780

SHORT-TERM EFFECTS OF STRENGTH and Plyometric Training on Sprint and Jump Performance in Professional Soccer Players

BENT R. RONNESTAD,¹ NILS H. KVAMME,² ARNSTEIN SUNDE,³ AND TRULS RAASTAD²

¹Faculty of Social Science, Lillehammer University College, Lillehammer, Norway; ²Norwegian College of Sport Sciences, Oslo, Norway; ³Department of Sport and Outdoor Life Studies, Telemark University College, Bø, Norway

- a 7-week programme was sufficient to significantly increase strenght and power-related outcomes
- 3 groups: control group, strength training group, strength training group with supplementary plyometrics
- during preparation period

Address correspondence to Bent R. Ronnestad, bent.ronnestad@hil.no.

^{22(3)/773-780}

So: Why mesocycles of 4, 5, or 6 weeks?

- The literature confirms that significant improvements are already visible and demonstrable after 5 to 6 weeks, and this for (most of) all the football performance-related characteristics
- A mesocycle of 4 weeks is the minimum and this is only chosen based on the playing schedule, as well as on the fitness level of the players (better fitness, shorter mesocycles)
- Longer mesocycles with one particular dominant (overload) character are very difficult to implement in professional football (playing schedule is too busy, and almost impossible with 2 games per week)
- Too long mesocycles seem annoying to most football players, it tends too much towards monotony and can be detrimental to the group dynamics (own experiences)
- Communicating clearly to the players what is expected during the mesocycles is a must (what and why?)

Microcycles

✓ Tactical periodisation or not?

- "Tactics is not a physical dimension, it is not technical, it is not psychological, but it needs all three to manifest itself" (Frade, 1996).
- Tactical periodization respects the principle of the inseparable integrity of the game, incorporating in each exercise the four dimensions that make up football performance: tactical, technical, psychological, and physical.
- This training methodology focuses heavily on getting players to train in a way that mirrors the intensity and pressure of an in-game situation, in full pitch or smaller areas of the pitch.
- But in a day-to-day changing schedule of physical characteristics (MD, MD+1, MD+2, MD+3, MD-3, MD-2, MD-1, MD)

Microcycles

✓ Tactical periodisation or not?

- Unfortunately, a number of coaches think they work according to the principles of tactical periodization, but in fact they do not.
- In my opinion, there is a danger that the players are undertrained, i.e. not trained hard enough (during some important periods).
- Therefore, tactical periodisation is of course possible (according to the philosophy of the head coach)
- With respect of the collective planning and periodisation (planned mesocycles)
- So, always having the possibility to include collective or individual physical sessions within a training session (in the session or at the end of a session)
- Tactical periodisation not necessarily in preparation periods
- I prefer an active recovery session and a compensation session on MD+1

Microcycles

✓Adapted model of tactical periodisation

| | Classic model | Adapted model |
|-------------|--|---|
| MD | Game | Game |
| MD+1 | Free, passive recovery | Active recovery & Compensation |
| MD+2 | Active recovery, muscle tension | Free, passive recovery |
| MD+3 | Strength, muscular resistance | Volume / Endurance |
| MD+4 / MD-3 | Endurance / longer duration in muscular resistance | HIIT / Strength / Speed Explosivity (according to mesocycles) |
| MD-2 | Speed | Active recovery Tactics |
| MD-1 | Active recovery | Short with some intensity Tactics |
| MD | Game | Game |

Microcycle Week 3 Preparation: extensive to intensive endurance

Microcycle Week 2 Competition Int Interval (HIIT)

Microcycle Week 6 Competition Explosivity Speed

Injury prevention work, individual work, and pre-warming up is NOT included in these calculations. This is the "collective part".

Some general quotes and ideas ...my answers

- We have to train harder than match demands.

Yes, some moments in each mesocycle.

- I prefer injuries during the training sessions rather than during the games.

Yes

- Do I prefer fresh players over fit players?

No, first of all, I prefer fit players. Towards the end of the season, I prefer fresh players.

- Strength/explosive training can go together with endurance/interval training.

Yes

Some general quotes and ideas ...my answers

- Do we repeat every week the same rhythm-microcycle?

No, microcycle according to the mesocycle. But microcycles always in function of the games (MD-2, MD-1, MD).

- Do we prefer physical variation over rather monotony?

Yes, physical variation.

- Long-term planning in professional football is absolutely needed.

Furthermore, as Sports Science Manager, as Head of Performance, as Performance Director, ...

- Partner with the General Manager, Sport Technical Director, Head of Medical, Academy Director, Head Coaches, and Technical Staff to support and maximize the athletic potential of players in line with the club's Strategic Plan
- Create the overall high-performance strategy, policies, and procedures of the club
- Manage the full-time/part-time specialists/experts (physical coaches) of the club
- Lead organization-wide programming (nutrition, sleep, recovery, strength and conditioning, mental conditioning, altitude training, jet lag strategy, ...) and collaborate with experts in their field to oversee the implementation of this programming
- Responsible for analyzing and reporting on all performance data (training and testing, physical data, GPS tracking, match data, ...)
- Provide support to meet the diverse needs of both senior teams and youth teams (boys and girls)
- Lead all aspects of the team's athletic performance assessment and monitoring processes, also a regular follow-up of the players on loan (report of physical and medical status)
- Oversee regular physiological and biomechanical assessments, according to the planning and periodization
- Assist in, analyze, and interpret complex information (e.g., player performance and proficiency, ...) to share with Technical Staff, Head Coaches, and players
- Collaborate with the Head of Medical, develop fundamental sport science processes and collaborate in sport medicine decisions, including monitoring and management of player workloads and recovery, and return-to-play
- Manage upkeep of performance training, sport science, and sport equipment and technology, and participate in innovation

"Van een goede trainer wordt verwacht dat hij een redelijk(e) kunstenaar is."

"On attend d'un bon entraîneur qu'il soit un artiste raisonnable."

Buekers M, 1994

"A good trainer is expected to be a <u>reasonable</u> *artist*." "Un buon allenatore deve essere un <u>artista</u> <u>ragionevole</u>."

References

- Bompa T, 1999, <u>Periodization: Training for sports</u>, Human Kinetics, 239p.
- Buekers M, 1994, Specifieke Trainingsleer, Leuven: KULeuven.
- Hoff J; Helgerud J, 2004, Endurance and strength training for soccer players, <u>Sports Medicine</u> 34 (3): 165-180.
- Hostrup M; Bangsbo J, 2023, Performance adaptations to intensified training in top-level football, <u>Sports Medicine</u> 53: 577-594.
- Kraemer WJ; Ratamess NA, 2004, Fundamentals of resistance training: progression and exercise prescription, <u>Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise</u> 36 (4): 674-688.
- Laursen P; Buccheit M (eds), 2019, <u>Science and application of High-Intensity Interval Training: solutions to the programming puzzle</u>, Human Kinetics, 664p.
- Nader, 2006, Concurrent strength and endurance training: from molecules to man, <u>Medicine and Science in Sports</u> and <u>Exercise</u> 38 (11): 1965-1970.
- Nunez VM et al., 2008, Effects of training exercise for the development of strength and endurance in soccer, Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research 22 (2): 518-523.
- Seipp D; Quittmann O; Frowin F; Klatt S, 2022, Concurrent training in team sports: a systematic review, International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching 18 (4): <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/17479541221099846</u>
- Silva JR; Nassis GP; Rebelo A, 2015, Strength training in soccer with a specific focus on highly trained players, <u>Sports Medicine</u> 1: 17.
- Williams J, 2017, Concurrent strength and endurance training, Journal of Physical Fitness, <u>Medicine and Treatment</u> in <u>Sports</u> 1 (3): 555-563.

alda

adidas

Rb

If you would like more information about my way of working or would like to collaborate, please do not hesitate to contact me.

For more information, check my website renaatphilippaerts.com